

REMARKS

The Office Action mailed May 30, 2008 has been carefully considered. Claims 25-52 are pending in the application. The Examiner has imposed a Restriction requirement on the application citing 35 USC 121 and 372.

As to Applicant's traverse the Applicant argues that the cited reference Eichenlaub, US5897184, is not appropriate for application under the rule because Eichenlaub teaches only the application of a 'light guide' to an Autostereoscopic display. His intent is to specifically reduce the amount of space taken up by the prior art light source and diffuser in an Autostereoscopic display.

As to Group I, Eichenlaub does not teach a 'transflective image display' nor a 'wavelength filter array' as in claims 25-30.

As to Group II, Eichenlaub does not teach a 'wavelength filter array' having 'a first and second position' as in claims 31-41.

As to Group III, Eichenlaub does not teach a 'wavelength filter array' having at least 'every tenth filter element has photochromic color-changing properties' as in claims 42-49.

As to Group IV, Eichenlaub does not teach a 'wavelength filter array' having a 'first mode' and a 'second mode' of operation' as in claims 50-52.

Respectfully submitted,



James F. McLaughlin
Reg. No. 38,048
Attorney for Applicant